UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/510,036	10/01/2004	Yong Seog Kim	3449-0389PUS1	4661	
	7590 03/22/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			HINES, ANNE M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2879			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/22/2007	ELECTRONIC		

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 03/22/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/510,036	KIM ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Anne M. Hines	2879				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
• —	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 February 2007</u> .						
,	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allower						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	J3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-5 and 9 is/are without	drawn from consideration.	· ,				
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
	Claim(s) <u>6-8 and 10-13</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•		r election requirement.					
ا ا	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
,—	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 October 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	·						
Attachmer	nt(s) :						
1) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2879

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on February 13, 2007, has been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner.

Claims 1-13 are pending in the instant application, claims 1-5 and 9 are withdrawn from consideration.

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-8 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jang et al. (KR 2000-0014545) (of record) in view of Wang et al. (EP 0893813 A2) (of record).

Regarding claims 6-8 and 11, Jang teaches a composition for manufacturing barrier ribs for a Plasma Display Panel (PDP) comprising 100 parts by weight of glass powder 20 to 40 parts by weight of solvent; 2 to 12 parts by weight of binder including water soluble components and solvent soluble components together; 3 to 18 parts by weight of plasticizer; and 0.5 to 2 parts by weight of dispersion agent (Paragraph 28;

Art Unit: 2879

Table 1; Table 2; Paragraph 30). Jang fails to disclose wherein the glass powder is mixed with a ceramic powder with a volume ratio in the range of 50:50 to 95:5, and is silent regarding the materials of the solvent, plasticizer, organic vehicle (binder), and dispersion agent.

In the same field of endeavor of compositions for the manufacture of barrier ribs of PDPs, Wang teaches a composition comprising a glass powder and ceramic powder mixture, an organic binder, plasticizer, and dispersion agent wherein: the glass powder and ceramic powder mixture has a volume ratio in the range of 50:50 to 95:5 (Page 11, line 46 to Page 12, line 10; Page 6, lines 5-6; Page 5, lines 48-50; Page 6, lines 16-17) in order to provide a lower defect rate partition wall (Page 4, lines 46-47). Wang further teaches wherein the glass powder and ceramic powder mixture is PbO-B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub> powder (Page 11, line 46 to Page 12, line 10) and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powder (Page 6, lines 5-6) of which a volume ratio is in the range of 50:50 to 95:5 (Page 5, lines 48-50; Page 6, lines 16-17) and wherein the average particle size of the powders is between 0.2 and 5 µm (Page 6, lines 9-12); the organic solvent is methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) solvent (Page 8, lines 25-29; Page 10, lines 42-57); the organic binder, including water soluble components and solvent soluble components together (Page 11, Table 1—see acrylate and methacrylate polymers for white layer); the plasticizer is dibutyl phthalate (DBP) plasticizer (Page 7, line 58; Page 11, Table 1—see plasticizer for white layer); and the dispersion agent is acrylic acid dispersion agent (Page 7, lines 6-7). Wang further teaches wherein the volume ratio of polyvinyl acetate water soluble binder to methyl methacrylate solvent soluble binder is in the range of 20:1 to 1:20 (Page 7, lines 5-40); the average molecular weights of the materials of the binders are inherently within the

Art Unit: 2879

claimed range since molecular weight is a material property. Note that the Examiner considers the following equivalencies inherent: ethylene-based unsaturated carboxylic acid is acrylic acid; a homopolymer of vinyl acetate is polyvinyl acetate; and C1 alkyl methacrylate is methyl methacrylate. Wang teaches the suitability of these materials for the composition of a barrier rib for a PDP.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the composition of the barrier rib of Jang to have the materials disclosed by Wang for the various components of the barrier rib composition of Jang and to have a mixture of glass powder and ceramic powder instead of glass powder only, in order ensure fewer defects in the barrier ribs and to choose from one of the materials disclosed by Wang, since Wang teaches the suitability of using these materials for the composition of a barrier rib of a PDP and it has been held to be within the general skill of an artisan to select a known material on the basis of the intended use. See MPEP 2144.07.

Regarding claim 10, Jang further discloses a plasma display panel using the barrier ribs manufactured according to the method defined in claim 6 (Abstract).

Regarding claims 12 and 13, Jang teaches wherein the additive is a dispersion agent or a surfactant (Paragraph 30), but fails to teach wherein the composition contains both a dispersion agent and a surfactant, and wherein the surfactant comprises 0.3 parts by weight of the composition. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would reasonably contemplate having both the dispersion agent and the surfactant disclosed by Jang as the additive of Jang since dispersion agents prevent clumping of the powder components of the composition and surfactants lower the surface tension of

liquids and allow easier spreading of a composition—which is desirable for the manufacture of barrier ribs of a PDP. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would reasonably contemplate adding the surfactant to the composition in an effective amount, such as 0.3 parts by weight, in order to gain the benefits of the surfactant in the composition. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Jang to have the additive of the composition include both the dispersion agent and 0.3 parts by weight of the surfactant in order to provide effective amounts of both additives and gain the benefits of the dispersion agent and surfactant including preventing clumping of the powder components of the composition and lowering the surface tension of liquid components to allow easier spreading of a composition—both of which are desirable for the manufacture of barrier ribs of a PDP.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed February 13, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to the Jang reference (KR 2000-0014545), Applicant argues that Jang fails to disclose 20 to 40 parts by weight of solvent, and argues that Jang instead discloses 50 to 90 parts by weight of solvent.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. In the Jang reference, at Paragraph 28 that the composition of the barrier rib is made up of an inorganic material and an organic compound, that the inorganic material is the glass powder, and the organic compound comprises a solvent, plasticizer, binder, and additive. Paragraph 28 also indicates that the composition of the barrier rib in Table 1 adds to 100 wt%. Table 1 has the

Page 6

Art Unit: 2879

composition of the barrier rib with 60 wt% of glass powder, while the organic compound comprises the remaining 40%. In Paragraph 29, Table 2 is described as showing the composition of the organic compound only, and the composition of the organic compound adds to 100 wt%. Since applicant claims the composition of a barrier rib material in parts by weight, the wt% values cannot be directly compared to the claimed parts by weight numbers as Applicant has done in the arguments. Further, the wt% values of Table 2 only describe the wt% portion of the total composition for the organic compound of the barrier rib. Since the organic compound comprises only 40 wt% of the total barrier rib composition: If the glass powder (60 wt%) is 100 parts by weight then converting the organic compound (40 wt%) to parts by weight results in 66.67 parts by weight. Then converting the range of each of the components of the organic compound in Table 2 results in:

Element	Parts By Weight	
Solvent	33.33-60.03	
Plasticizer	0.667-20.01	<del></del>
Binder	0.333-20.01	
Additive	0.0667-6.67	······································

Therefore, Jang discloses between 20 and 40 parts by weight of solvent.

With regard to the Wang reference (EP 0893813 A2), Applicant argues that Wang's disclosure of homopolymers and copolymers of a group of monomers in

Art Unit: 2879

amounts up to 15 wt% does not meet the required mixture of water soluble binder and solvent soluble binder having a volume ratio in the range of 20:1 to 1:20.

Page 7

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Jang discloses a binder composition of C1 alkyl methacrylate, which is methyl methacrylate (a solvent soluble binder), from 0 to 100 wt% and wherein a homopolymer of vinyl acetate, which is polyvinyl acetate (a water soluble binder), of up to 15 wt% of the binder composition. To convert the wt% of the composition of the binder to volume ratio it is necessary to know the density of the materials. The density of polyvinyl acetate is between 1.19 and 1.31 g/cm³ (temperature dependent) and the density of methyl methacrylate is 0.94 g/cm³. The claimed range of volume percentages allows from 5 vol% to 95 vol% of the binder composition to be water soluble binder. Since the densities of the two binders in Wang's binder composition are both close to 1, it is clear even without calculation that the up to 15 wt% of the water soluble binder in the binder composition that is disclosed falls within the claimed range. Calculating the vol% corresponding to a binder composition with 15 wt% polyvinyl acetate:

Supposing for a 100g composition, 15 wt%=15g.

 $15g/1.19 \text{ g/cm}^3 = 12.605 \text{ cm}^3.$ 

Then the remaining 85 wt% = 85g of methyl methacrylate.

 $85g/0.94g/cm^3 = 90.425cm^3$ .

The total volume of the composition is 103.03cm<sup>3</sup>:

Therefore, the vol% of the polyvinyl acetate = 12.23 vol%, which is within the claimed range.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne M. Hines whose telephone number is (571) 272-2285. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2879

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anne M Hines Patent Examiner Art Unit 2879

> MARICELI SANTIAGO PRIMARY EXAMINER